

- Enhanced productivity and food security ensured
- Safer environments and products
- Better livelihoods

# FAW arrival, spread and emerging impacts at household level

**EU FAW IPM project overview** 

Subramanian Sevgan Principal Scientist, Plant health theme On behalf of the FAW team, *icipe* and partners







EUROPEAN UNION

## Spodoptera frugiperda (<u>J.E. Smith</u>, 1797)



Nearctic and Neotropical in origin, identified first in 1797 in Georgia, USA

□ Phaleana frugiperda (until 1852)

□ Laphygma frugiperda (until 1958) □ Commonly referred as grass worm

□ First report on migratory behavior from Florida and Texas (Lubingill, 1928)

□ Named as Fall Armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* in 1958



### Host range and economic importance

- Host Range, over 100 plant spp.
- Montezano et al. (2018) 353 host plants
- Cereals: maize, sorghum, wheat
- Fodder grasses: Napier grass
- Vegetables: Kales, Cabbages, pulses

FAW is a threat to:

- Food security
- Maize seed sector
- Export trade
- Livestock feed industry





# **Global invasion of Fall armyworm**



2016

2017

2018

2019



Average yield loss to maize: 10.4 – 45%

Economic Impact : US\$ 1,088 and US\$ 4,661 (CABI, 2018)



#### **Migratory pattern in the Neotropics**



- □ Overwintering populations in Texas and Florida
- □ Annual migration northwards
- □ Texas population widespread in South America
- Migratory behavior in South America not widely studied, expected to be endemic
- □ Adults can migrate over 2000km
- Migration facilitated by wind

□ Similarly can FAW migrate from North Africa to Europe

Nagoshi et al., 2017



### FAW distribution – current and potential migratory pathway



Map source: CABI factsheet



www.icipe.org

#### Fall Armyworm dynamics assessed using the CBFAMFEW-FAMEWS data



# Farmer's perceptions and management of FAW – Ethiopia and Kenya



- $\Box$  > 90% of farmers in Ethiopia and Kenya, encountered FAW
- □ Farmer's estimated crop damage of 32% in Ethiopia and 47% in Kenya (0.8 to 1.0 tonnes/ha)
- □ In Kenya, 60% of farmers felt pesticides were ineffective, while in Ethiopia 46% felt pesticides to be effective (26% combined sprays with handpicking)

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PEST MANAGEMENT, 2018 https://doi.org/10.1080/09670874.2017.1423129 Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

(R) Check for updat

Farmers' knowledge, perceptions, and management practices of the new invasive pest, fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda) in Ethiopia and Kenya

Teshome Kumela<sup>a</sup>, Josephine Simiyu<sup>b</sup>, Birhanu Sisay<sup>a</sup>, Paddy Likhayo<sup>b</sup>, Esayas Mendesil<sup>a</sup>, Linnet Gohole<sup>c</sup> and Tadele Tefera<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>International Center of Insect Physiology & Ecology (*icipe*), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; <sup>b</sup>International Center of Insect Physiology & Ecology (*icipe*), Nairobi, Kenya; <sup>c</sup>Department of Seed, Crop & Horticultural Sciences, University of Eldoret, Eldoret, Kenya



# Quantifying the economic impacts of fall armyworm: A case study in Ethiopia

- 1260 maize growing households
- Plot and household level data collected, control strategies, loss data at plot level
- 18 villages
- Reduced maize yield by 12%
  Reduced marketed surplus by 13%
  Increased quantity of insecticides use by 85% (from 0.54 liter per ha to 1 liter per ha)



www.icipe.org

Kassie et al., 2020. European Review of Agricultural Economics (2020). pp. 1-20.



# FAW spread over time and space and impacts in Kenya

- 121 communities
- 121 focus group discussions
- 1, 439 farmers (51% Female) participated
- First observed in Western Kenya
- By 2017, FAW had reached most of the Eastern and Coastal areas
- Average yield loss of 32% was estimated for Kenya



Source: <u>Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment</u> (2020), 292, 106804.

## Other yield loss estimates across Africa



#### **Current FAW management actions response**



> 60 synthetic pesticides have been
 promoted across Africa, while only 6 – 7
 of these show effectiveness for FAW
 management and are ecologically safe



#### Sustainable Fall armyworm IPM strategy for Africa



- Enhanced productivity and food security ensured
- Safer environments and products
- Better livelihoods







# FAW-IPM Africa-specific, science-led, sustainable and integrated management of the fall armyworm













### **Project objectives**

**Overall objective** is to enhance resilience of smallholder maize growers in eastern Africa through enhanced preparedness and eco-friendly management of fall armyworm (FAW), *Spodoptera frugiperda,* for food and nutritional security

**Specific objective** is 'sustainable management of FAW through the development and scaling out of proven and innovative environmentally-friendly integrated pest management (IPM) approaches.



#### **Key result areas**





- 5 Eastern African countries (Kenya, Uganda, Rwanda, Ethiopia, Tanzania)
- **Result Area 1:** Regional preparedness, early warning and enhanced capacity for timely response with available management options
- □Result Area 2: An effective and sustainable IPM strategy developed and disseminated
- □Result Area 3: Dissemination and participatory implementation of FAW-IPM in eastern Africa strengthened

□Result Area 4: Capacity in East Africa to research, develop and implement a sustainable IPM enhanced

**Result Area 5:** *Livelihood, environmental and gender impacts along the maize value chain determined and utilized for decision making* 



# Acknowledgement

#### Donors



EUROPEAN UNION











BILL& MELINDA GATES foundation



## **Acknowledgement**

#### Donors directly providing financial support to icipe



# Thank you



#### **International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology**

P.O. Box 30772-00100, Nairobi, Kenya Tel: +254 (20) 8632000 E-mail: <u>icipe@icipe.org</u> Website: <u>www.icipe.org</u>

Support icipe: www.icipe.org/support-icipe

- f facebook.com/icipe.insects/icipe
- twitter.com/icipe
- in linkedin.com/company/icipe

#### **Strains/haplotypes of Fall Armyworm**

Features	Corn Strain (C)	Rice Strain (R)
Host preference	Maize, Cotton and Sorghum	Rice, Bermuda grass and turfgrass
Morphology	Similar	
Molecular	Variations at the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I gene	
Pesticide efficacy	More susceptible to Carbofuran	More susceptible to Carbaryl and Diazinon
Multiplication rate	Greater compared to R strain	Lesser compared to C strain
Mating compatibility	C-Female x R-Male Nagoshi et al., 2007, 2018; Hard	R-Female x C-Male ke et al., 2015; Srinivasan et al., 2018
Pheromone	More responsive	Less responsive
Situation in Africa	Both strains widely distributed	

